



AYLESBURY
GRAMMAR SCHOOL
Founded 1598

SCHOOL ASSESSED GRADES POLICY

SUMMER 2021

CENTRE POLICY FOR DETERMINING SCHOOL ASSESSED GRADES SUMMER 2021

1. Statement of intent

1.1. The purpose of this policy is:

- To ensure that School Assessed Grades are determined fairly, consistently, free from bias and effectively within and across departments.
- To ensure the operation of effective processes with clear guidelines and support for staff.
- To ensure that all staff involved in the processes clearly understand their roles and responsibilities.
- To support teachers to make evidence-based decisions in line with Joint Council for Qualifications guidance.
- To ensure the consideration of historical centre data in the process, and the appropriate decision making in respect of, school assessed grades.
- To support a high standard of internal quality assurance in the allocation of school assessed grades.
- To support our centre in meeting its obligations in relation to equality legislation.
- To ensure our centre meets all requirements set out by the Department of Education, Ofqual, the Joint Council for Qualifications and awarding organisations for Summer 2021 qualifications.
- To ensure the process for communicating to candidates and their parents/carers how they will be assessed is clear, in order to give confidence.

2. Roles and responsibilities

2.1. Governors

- Will be responsible for approving the policy for determining School Assessed Grades.

2.2. Head of Centre

- The Head of Centre is the Headmaster who has overall responsibility for the School as an examinations centre and will ensure that clear roles and responsibilities of all staff are defined.
- The Head of Centre will confirm that School Assessed Grades decisions represent the academic judgement made by teachers and that the checks in place ensure these align with the guidance on standards provided by awarding organisations.
- The Head of Centre will ensure a robust internal quality assurance process has been produced and signed-off in advance of results being submitted.

2.3. Senior Leadership Team in collaboration with Heads of Department will:

- Provide training and support to our other staff.
- Support the Head of Centre in the quality assurance of the final School Assessed Grades.
- Ensure an effective approach within and across departments and authenticating the preliminary outcome from single teacher subjects.
- Be responsible for ensuring staff have a clear understanding of the internal and external quality assurance processes and their role within it.
- Ensure that all teachers within their department make consistent judgements about student evidence in deriving a grade.
- Ensure all staff conduct assessments under the appropriate levels of control with reference to guidance provided by the Joint Council for Qualifications.
- Ensure teachers have the information required to make accurate and fair judgements.

- Ensure that a Head of Department Checklist is completed for each qualification that they are submitting.

2.4. Heads of Department in collaboration with teachers in their department will:

- Ensure they conduct assessments under our centre's appropriate levels of control and have sufficient evidence, in line with this Centre Policy and guidance from the Joint Council for Qualifications, to contribute to the provision of a School Assessed Grades for each student entered for a qualification.
- Ensure that the School Assessed Grade they assign to each student is a fair, valid and reliable reflection of the assessed evidence available for each student.
- Make judgements based on what each student has been taught and what they have been assessed on, as outlined in the section on grading in the main JCQ guidance.
- Produce a Department Rationale Document for each subject cohort, that includes the nature of the assessment evidence being used, the level of control for assessments considered, and any other evidence that explains the determination of the final School Assessed Grades.
- Any necessary variations for individual students will be recorded on the Department School Assessed Grades spreadsheet.
- Securely store and be able to retrieve sufficient evidence to justify their decisions.

2.5. Data and Information Manager, and Examinations Officer will:

- Be responsible for the administration of our final School Assessed Grades and for managing the post-results services.

3. Training, Support and Guidance

- 3.1. Teachers involved in determining grades in our centre will attend any centre-based training to help achieve consistency and fairness to all students.
- 3.2. Teachers will engage fully with all training and support that has been provided by the Joint Council for Qualifications and the awarding organisation.
- 3.3. We will support NQTs and teachers less familiar with assessment. We will, where necessary, put in place additional internal reviews of School Assessed Grades for NQTs and other teachers as appropriate.

4. Use of appropriate evidence

- 4.1. Teachers making judgements will have regard to the Ofqual Head of Centre guidance on recommended evidence, and further guidance provided by awarding organisations.
- 4.2. All candidate evidence used to determine School Assessed Grades, and associated documentation, will be retained where appropriate and made available for the purposes of external quality assurance and appeals.
- 4.3. We will be taking all relevant and appropriate evidence into account to determine a School Assessed Grade, including, but not limited to:
 - Student work produced in response to assessment materials provided by the awarding organisation(s), including groups of questions, past papers or similar materials such as practice or sample papers.
 - Non-exam assessment work (often referred to as coursework), even if this has not been fully completed.
 - Student work produced in centre-devised tasks that reflect the specification, that follow the same format as awarding organisation materials, and have been marked in a way that reflects awarding organisation mark schemes.
 - If required, substantial classwork or homework (including work that took place during remote learning).
 - Internal tests taken by students.
 - Internal assessments taken over the course of study.

- 4.4. We will use records of a student's capability and performance over the course of study in performance-based subjects such as music, drama and PE.
- 4.5. Additional Assessment Materials (provided by the Examination Boards)
- Where appropriate, we will use additional assessment materials to give students the opportunity to show what they know, understand or can do in an area of content that has been taught but not yet assessed.
 - Additional assessment materials will give students an opportunity to show improvement, for example, to validate or replace an existing piece of evidence.
 - Additional assessment materials can be used to support consistency of judgement between teachers or classes by giving everyone the same task to complete.
 - We may combine and/or remove elements of questions where, for example, a multi-part question includes a part which focuses on an element of the specification that has not been taught.
- 4.6. Our centre will ensure the appropriateness of evidence and balance of evidence in arriving at grades in the following ways:
- We will consider the level of control under which an assessment was completed, for example, whether the evidence was produced under high control and under supervision or at home.
 - We will endeavour to ensure that we are able to authenticate the work as the student's own, especially where that work was not completed within the school or college.
 - We will consider the limitations of assessing a student's performance when using assessments that have been completed more than once, or drafted and redrafted, where this is not a skill being assessed.
 - We will consider the specification and assessment objective coverage of the assessment.
 - We will consider the depth and breadth of knowledge, understanding and skills assessed, especially higher order skills within individual assessments.

5. Determining School Assessed Grades

- 5.1. Our departments will determine grades based on evidence which is commensurate with the standard at which a student is performing, i.e. their demonstrated knowledge, understanding and skills across the content of the course they have been taught.
- 5.2. Our departments will record how the evidence was used to arrive at a fair and objective grade, which is free from bias.
- 5.3. Our departments will produce a Department Rationale document for each subject cohort. Any necessary variations for individual students will also be shared.

6. Internal quality assurance

- 6.1. We will ensure that all teachers involved in deriving School Assessed Grades read and understand this Centre Policy document.
- 6.2. In subjects where there is more than one teacher and/or class in the department, we will ensure that our centre carries out an internal standardisation process.
- 6.3. We will ensure that all teachers are provided with training and support to ensure they take a consistent approach to:
- Arriving at School Assessed Grades
 - Marking of evidence
 - Reaching a holistic grading decision
 - Applying the use of grading support and documentation
 - Conducting internal standardisation across all grades.

- 6.4. We will ensure that the Department Rationale Document and Department School Assessed Grades Spreadsheet will form the basis of internal standardisation and discussions across teachers to agree the awarding of School Assessed Grades.
- 6.5. Where necessary, we will review and reflect on individual grading decisions to ensure alignment with the standards as outlined by our awarding organisation(s).
- 6.6. Where appropriate, we will amend individual grade decisions to ensure alignment with the standards as outlined by our awarding organisation(s).
- 6.7. Where there is only one teacher involved in marking assessments and determining grades, then the output of this activity will be reviewed by an appropriate member of staff within the centre.
- 6.8. In respect of equality legislation, we will consider the range of evidence for students of different protected characteristics that are included in our internal standardisation

7. Comparison of School Assessed Grades to results for previous cohorts

- 7.1. Our internal process to ensure a comparison of School Assessed Grades at qualification level to results for previous cohorts in our centre taking the same qualification:
 - We will compile information on the grades awarded to our students in the past June series in which exams took place (2017-2019).
 - We will consider the size of our cohort from year to year.
 - We will consider the stability of our centre's overall grade outcomes from year to year.
 - We will consider both subject and centre level variation in our outcomes during the internal quality assurance process.
 - We will prepare a succinct narrative on the outcomes of the review against historic data which, in the event of significant divergence from the qualifications-levels profiles attained in previous examined years, addresses the reasons for this divergence. This commentary will be available for subsequent review during the QA process.
- 7.2. The approach we will follow if our initial School Assessed Grades for a qualification are viewed as overly lenient or harsh compared to results in previous years:
 - We will compile historical data for GCSE and A Level, giving appropriate regard to potential mixtures of A*-G and 9-1 grades in GCSEs. Where required, we will use the Ofqual guidance to convert legacy grades into the new 9 to 1 scale.
 - We will bring together other data sources that will help to quality assure the grades we intend to award in 2021.

8. Access Arrangements and Special Considerations

- 8.1. Where students have agreed access arrangements or reasonable adjustments (for example a reader or scribe) we will make every effort to ensure that these arrangements are in place when assessments are being taken.
- 8.2. Where an assessment has taken place without an agreed reasonable adjustment or access arrangement, we may remove that assessment from the pillars of evidence and alternative evidence obtained.
- 8.3. Where illness or other personal circumstances might have affected performance in assessments used in determining a student's standard of performance, we will take account of this when making judgements.

- 8.4. We will record, as part of the Department Rationale Document and Department School Assessed Grades Spreadsheet, how we have incorporated any necessary variations to take account of the impact of illness or personal circumstances on the performance of individual students in assessments.
- 8.5. To ensure consistency in the application of Special Consideration, we will ensure all teachers have read and understood the document: JCQ – A guide to the special consideration process, with effect from 1 September 2020.

9. Addressing disruption/differential lost learning (DLL)

- 9.1. School Assessed Grades will be determined based on evidence of the content that has been taught and assessed for each student.

10. Objectivity

- 10.1. Staff will fulfil their duties and responsibilities in relation to relevant equality and disability legislation.
- 10.2. Senior Leaders, Heads of Department and Centre will consider:
 - sources of unfairness and bias (situations/contexts, difficulty, presentation and format, language, conditions for assessment, marker preconceptions);
 - how to minimise bias in questions and marking and hidden forms of bias; and
 - bias in school assessed grades.
- 10.3. To ensure objectivity, all staff involved in determining school assessed grades will be made aware that:
 - unconscious bias can skew judgements;
 - the evidence presented should be valued for its own merit as an indication of performance and attainment;
 - school assessed grades should not be influenced by candidates' positive or challenging personal circumstances, character, behaviour, appearance, socio-economic background, or protected characteristics;
 - unconscious bias is more likely to occur when quick opinions are formed; and
 - Our internal standardisation process will help to ensure that there are different perspectives to the quality assurance process.

11. Recording Decisions and Retention of Evidence and Data

- 11.1. We will ensure that teachers and Heads of Departments maintain records that show how the School Assessed Grades process operated, including the rationale for decisions in relation to individual marks/grades.
- 11.2. We will ensure that evidence is maintained across a variety of tasks to develop a holistic view of each student's demonstrated knowledge, understanding and skills in the areas of content taught.
- 11.3. We will put in place recording requirements for the various stages of the process to ensure the accurate and secure retention of the evidence used to make decisions.
- 11.4. We will comply with our obligations regarding data protection legislation.
- 11.5. We will ensure that the grades accurately reflect the evidence submitted.
- 11.6. We will ensure that evidence is retained electronically or on paper in a secure centre-based system that can be readily shared with our awarding organisation(s).

12. Authenticating Evidence

- 12.1. Robust mechanisms will be in place to ensure that teachers are confident that work used as evidence is the students' own and that no inappropriate levels of support have been given to students to complete it, either within the centre or with external tutors.
- 12.2. It is understood that awarding organisations will investigate instances where it appears evidence is not authentic. We will follow all guidance provided by awarding organisations to support these determinations of authenticity.

13. Confidentiality, Malpractice and Conflicts of Interest

- 13.1. The measures in place to ensure the confidentiality of the grades our centre determines, and to make students aware of the range of evidence on which those grades will be based:
 - All staff involved have been made aware of the need to maintain the confidentiality of School Assessed Grades.
 - All teaching staff have been briefed on the requirement to share details of the range of evidence on which students' grades will be based, while ensuring that details of the final grades remain confidential.
 - Relevant details from this Policy, including requirements around sharing details of evidence and the confidentiality requirements, have been shared with parents/carers.
- 13.2. The measures in place in our centre to prevent malpractice and, where that proves impossible, to handle cases in accordance with awarding organisation requirements:
 - Our general centre policies regarding malpractice, maladministration and conflicts of interest have been reviewed to ensure they address the specific challenges of delivery in Summer 2021.
 - All staff involved have been made aware of these policies, and have received training in them as necessary.
 - All staff involved have been made aware of the specific types of malpractice which may affect the Summer 2021 series including:
 - breaches of internal security;
 - deception;
 - improper assistance to students;
 - failure to appropriately authenticate a student's work;
 - over direction of students in preparation for common assessments;
 - allegations that centres submit grades not supported by evidence that they know to be inaccurate;
 - centres enter students who were not originally intending to certificate a grade in the Summer 2021 series;
 - failure to engage as requested with awarding organisations during the External Quality Assurance and appeal stages; and
 - failure to keep appropriate records of decisions made and school assessed grades.
- 13.3. The consequences of malpractice or maladministration as published in the JCQ guidance: JCQ Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures and including the risk of a delay to students receiving their grades, up to, and including, removal of centre status have been outlined to all relevant staff.
- 13.4. To address conflicts of interest:
 - All staff involved in the determination of grades must declare any conflict of interest such as relationships with students to our Head of Centre for further consideration.
 - Our Head of Centre will take appropriate action to manage any conflicts of interest arising with centre staff in accordance with the JCQ documents - General Regulations for Approved Centres, 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021.
 - We will also carefully consider the need to separate duties and personnel to ensure fairness in later process reviews and appeals.

14. Private Candidates

- 14.1. We will not assess any private candidates apart from former students of the School (Old Aylesburians).
- 14.2. Our arrangements for assessing Private Candidates to arrive at appropriate grades are identical to the approaches utilised for internal candidates.
- 14.3. Where it has been necessary to utilise different approaches, the JCQ Guidance on Private Candidates has been followed and any divergences from our approach for internal candidates have been recorded on the appropriate class/student documentation.
- 14.4. In undertaking the review of cohort grades in conjunction with our centre results profiles from previous examined years, the grades determined by our centre for Private Candidates have been excluded from our analysis.

15. External Quality Assurance

- 15.1. All staff involved have been made aware of the awarding organisation requirements for External Quality Assurance as set out in the JCQ Guidance.
- 15.2. All necessary records of decision-making in relation to determining grades have been properly kept and can be made available for review as required.
- 15.3. All student evidence on which decisions regarding the determination of grades has been retained and can be made available for review as required.
- 15.4. Instances where student evidence used to decide School Assessed Grades is not available, for example where the material has previously been returned to students and cannot now be retrieved, will be clearly recorded on the appropriate documentation.
- 15.5. All staff involved have been briefed on the possibility of interaction with awarding organisations during the different stages of the External Quality Assurance process and can respond promptly and fully to enquiries, including attendance at Virtual Visits should this prove necessary.
- 15.6. Arrangements are in place to respond fully and promptly to any additional requirements/reviews that may be identified as a result of the External Quality Assurance process.
- 15.7. Staff have been made aware that a failure to respond fully and effectively to such additional requirements may result in further action by the awarding organisations, including the withholding of results.

16. Results

- 16.1. All staff involved have been made aware of the specific arrangements for the issue of results in Summer 2021, including the issuing of A/AS and GCSE results in the same week.
- 16.2. Arrangements will be made to ensure the necessary staffing, including exams office and support staff, to enable the efficient receipt and release of results to our students.
- 16.3. Arrangements will be in place for the provision of all necessary advice, guidance and support, including pastoral support, to students on receipt of their results.
- 16.4. Such guidance will include advice on the appeals process in place in 2021 (see below).
- 16.5. Appropriate staff will be available to respond promptly to any requests for information from awarding organisations, for example regarding missing or incomplete results, to enable such issues to be swiftly resolved.
- 16.6. Parents/carers have been made aware of arrangements for results days.

17. Appeals

- 17.1. All staff involved have been made aware of the arrangements for, and the requirements of, appeals in Summer 2021, as set out in the JCQ Guidance.
- 17.2. Internal arrangements will be in place for the swift and effective handling of Centre Reviews in compliance with the requirements.
- 17.3. All necessary staff have been briefed on the process for, and timing of, such reviews, and will be available to ensure their prompt and efficient handling.
- 17.4. Learners have been appropriately guided as to the necessary stages of appeal.
- 17.5. Arrangements will be in place for the timely submission of appeals to awarding organisations, including any priority appeals, for example those on which university places depend.
- 17.6. Arrangements will be in place to obtain the written consent of students to the initiation of appeals, and to record their awareness that grades may go down as well as up on appeal.
- 17.7. Appropriate information on the appeals process will be provided to parents/carers.